Marlboro

ABSOLUTE RATING: Below Average **IMPROVEMENT RATING:** Average

Absolute Ratings of Similar Districts

Unsatisfactory Below Average Average Good Excellent

Definitions of District Rating Terms

Excellent- District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC

Good- District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal. Average- District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Below Average- District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Unsatisfactory- District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our District

Districts With Students Like Ours







Mathematics English/ Language Arts

Mathematics English/ Language Arts



DEFINITIONS OF CRITICAL TERMS:

- Advanced Student performance exceeded expectations.
- Proficient Student performance met expectations.
- Basic Student performance met minimum performance expectations.
- Below Basic Student performance did not meet minimum performance expectations.

| PERFORMANCE BY S | TUDENT GR | OUPS | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Student Group | Exit Exam Rate by Spr | - | Eligibil for LIF Schola N | | | nts Scoring ve on The % ELA | |
| All students | 262 | 87.0% | 230 | 5.7% | 2,337 | 55.4% | 46.7% |
| Students with disabilities other than speech | s 7 | 100.0% | 20 | 5.0% | 267 | 21.0% | 17.2% |
| Students without disabilities | 255 | 85.9% | 210 | 5.7% | 2,028 | 61.0% | 51.5% |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 130 | 84.6% | 107 | 7.5% | 1,187 | 49.0% | 44.6% |
| Female | 132 | 87.9% | 123 | 4.1% | 1,150 | 61.9% | 48.9% |
| Ethnic Group | | | | | | | |
| African American | 161 | 84.5% | 144 | 0.7% | 1,450 | 50.1% | 41.3% |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A | 8 | 75.0% | 50.0% |
| White | 99 | 88.9% | 82 | 12.2% | 793 | 65.1% | 56.5% |
| Other | N/A | N/A | 4 | I/S | 77 | 54.5% | 46.8% |
| Lunch Status | | | | | | | |
| Free/reduced-price lunc Pay for lunch | h 122 133 | 82.8% 88.7% | 137 93 | 1.5% 11.8% | 1,786 455 | 52.2% 76.7% | 44.2% 64.2% |
| , | | | | | | | |

N equals number of students on which percentages are calculated.

Marlboro

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM

| | First-time Examinees | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | | | |
| Our district | | | | | | |
| Passed all 3 subtests | 51.2% | 52.7% | 50.2% | | | |
| Passed 2 subtests | 22.3% | 20.7% | 19.0% | | | |
| Passed 1 subtest | 14.5% | 13.2% | 14.9% | | | |
| Passed no subtest | 12.0% | 13.5% | 15.9% | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Districts with students like ours | | | | | | |
| Passed all 3 subtests | 46.4% | 53.9% | 53.9% | | | |
| Passed 2 subtests | 22.5% | 18.7% | 19.8% | | | |
| Passed 1 subtest | 17.1% | 15.9% | 14.1% | | | |
| Passed no subtest | 13.9% | 11.4% | 12.1% | | | |

LIFE scholarships at four-year institutions*

| | | Percent of Seniors | |
|---------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | | Meeting Grade Point | Meeting SAT/ACT |
| | Eligible | Average Requirement | Requirement |
| Our District | 5.7 | 40.4 | 5.7 |
| Districts Like Ours | 6.9 | 32.7 | 7.3 |

*Using the criteria for students who entered college in fall 2001.

College Admissions Tests: Tests that are frequently used in the college admissions process.

| | SAT Verbal 2001 2002 | SAT Math 2001 2002 | SAT Total 2001 2002 | ACT English 2001 2002 | ACT Math 2001 2002 | ACT Reading 2001 2002 | ACT Science 2001 2002 | ACT Total 2001 2002 |
|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| District | 403 414 | 417 457 | 820 871 | 16.4 16.1 | 17.4 16.6 | 17.7 16.6 | 17.5 16.8 | 17.3 16.6 |
| State | 486 488 | 488 493 | 974 981 | 18.8 18.8 | 19.3 19.1 | 19.5 19.3 | 19.2 19.2 | 19.3 19.2 |
| Nation | 506 504 | 514 516 | 1020 1020 | 20.5 20.2 | 20.7 20.6 | 21.3 21.1 | 21.0 20.8 | 21.0 20.8 |

These tests were administered to samples of students:

Terra Nova Test: A national, norm-referenced achievement test. Percent scoring in upper half

| | Reading | | Language | | Math | | Total | |
|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| | State | Nation | State | Nation | State | Nation | State | Nation |
| Grade 4 | 47.8 | 50.0. | 43.1 | 50.0 | 58.4 | 50.0 | 50.5 | 50.0 |
| Grade 7 | 45.8 | 50.0 | 59.4 | 50.0 | 54.7 | 50.0 | 53.9 | 50.0 |
| Grade 10 | 59.6 | 50.0 | 59.5 | 50.0 | 62.4 | 50.0 | 59.1 | 50.0 |

National Assessment of Education Progress: A national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

Percents of Students

| | | | Adv | anced | Pro | ficient | Ba | asic | Belov | v Basic |
|-------------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|
| Test | Grade | Year | State | Nation | State | Nation | State | Nation | State | Nation |
| Reading | 4 | 1998 | 4 | 6 | 18 | 23 | 33 | 32 | 45 | 39 |
| Writing | 8 | 1998 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 23 | 64 | 59 | 21 | 17 |
| Mathematics | 4 | 2000 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 23 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 31 |

ABBREVIATIONS FOR MISSING DATA

N/A - Not Applicable

N/C - Not Collected

N/R - Not Reported

I/S - Insufficient Sample





^{*}Using the criteria for students who entered college in fall 2001.

DISTRICT PROFILE INDICATORS OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE

| | This District | Change from Last Year | With Students Like Ours | Median District |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| DISTRICT | | | | |
| Dollars per student | \$6,179 | Up 4.0% | \$7,963 | \$7,072 |
| Prime instructional time | 88.1% | Up from 85.9% | 89.2% | 89.9% |
| Student-teacher ratio | 20.4 to 1 | Down from 21.8 to 1 | 16.7 to 1 | 18.6 to 1 |
| Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 3.5% | Up from 2.9% | 1.2% | 0.4% |
| STUDENTS (n=5,122) | | | | |
| Advanced placement/ Int'l baccalaureate program: | | | | |
| Participation Rate | 6.0% | N/A | 6.8% | 9.3% |
| Exam Success Rate | 27.0% | N/A | 16.6% | 52.7% |
| Attendance Rate | 96.7% | Up from 96.3% | 95.9% | 96.0% |
| Taking PACT (ELA) off grade level | 5.2% | No change | 8.2% | 7.1% |
| Taking PACT (Math) off grade level | 4.9% | Up from 4.6% | 7.5% | 5.6% |
| Retention rate | 9.0% | Down from 11.2% | 6.9% | 5.6% |
| TEACHERS (n=346) | | | | |
| Professional development days per teacher | 5.0 Days | Down from 10.9Days | 5.0 Days | 5.0 Days |
| Attendance rate | 94.1% | Up from 92.2% | 95.0% | 95.0% |
| Advanced Degrees | 50.0% | Down from 52.9% | 44.2% | 46.6% |
| Continuing contracts | 85.0% | Down from 86.7% | 80.1% | 83.1% |
| Out-of-field permits | 2.0% | Down from 2.3% | 2.6% | 2.0% |
| Teachers returning from the previous year | 90.9% | Up from 90.5% | 85.0% | 88.6% |
| Average salary | \$38,819 | Up 5.1% | \$37,379 | \$39,023 |
| | | | | |

Dietriete

DISTRICT FACTS

| DISTRICT | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| Annual dropout rate | 9.0% | Up from 4.7% | 3.5% | 3.1% |
| Percentage spent on teacher salaries | 54.2% | Up from 53.5% | 50.7% | 53.7% |
| Superintendent's years in the district | 3.0 | Up from 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| Parent conferences | 83.3% | Up from 70.4% | 84.0% | 93.9% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Excellent | No change | Good | Excellent |
| Number of schools | 9 | Up from 8 | 6 | 8 |
| Number of alternative schools | 0 | No change | 0 | 0 |
| Number of charter schools | 0 | No change | 0 | 0 |
| Number of magnet schools | 1 | No change | 0 | 0 |
| Portable classrooms | 2.1% | Down from 2.8% | 4.6% | 6.6% |
| Attendance rate of district office staff | 97.8% | Up from 97.5% | 97.5% | 96.8% |
| Average administrative salary | \$66,465 | Up 6.5% | \$65,856 | \$66,570 |
| STUDENTS | | | | |
| Enrollment in adult education GED or diploma programs | 247 | N/A | 131 | 129 |
| Number of completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 59 | N/A | 25 | 37 |
| Suspensions and expulsions | 5.0% | N/A | 2.4% | 1.5% |
| Percent eligible for state gifted and talented programs | 4.7% | Down from 6.7% | 5.9% | 10.6% |
| Percentage with disabilities other than speech | 10.7% | Up from 9.2% | 10.3% | 10.7% |
| 3501 | | | | 3501 |

Marlboro School District
P. O. Box 947
Bennettsville, South Carolina 29512



Grades K-12

Enrollment: 5.122 Students

Superintendent Dr. L. Ray Brayboy, Ed. D 843-479-4016 Board Chair Mr. Ronald B. Henegan 843-479-7838

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Annual District Report Card

2002

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

The School District of Marlboro County has embarked upon an educational transformation that is already changing "the system" from one that was showing little, if any, academic progress by the students to one that will, in short time, demonstrate that our students and our community are the equals of any in our region, state, and nation. Complacence and satisfaction with "things as they always have been" is no longer acceptable. We cannot afford to remain at a standstill and expect to benefit from the advances of those around us.

Fundamental to the changes taking place is a strong professional development program for both classroom teachers and administrative personnel. An on-going series of training sessions with teachers, led by local administrators as well as outside consultants, has focused on the importance of a thorough understanding, acceptance, and use of the South Carolina Academic Standards in the core subject areas. These standards are the foundation of what is taught. The organization and management of what is taught is being guided through the Effective Schools Training, an on-going study of the processes we should use to govern the day-to-day operations of our schools and district. Marlboro County, joining with the State Department of Education and six other school districts, is participating in the South Carolina High Performance Initiative, a process that embraces the principles of an aligned management system, based on the Malcolm Baldrige Criteria for Excellence.

2002-03 promises to be another exciting year for our educational community. The Marlboro County School of Discovery, a middle-grades magnet school, opened its doors last year to nearly 150 students eager to embark into study centered on math, science, the performing arts, and technology. The program is in its infancy but promises much for the future. Another "first" for not only Marlboro County but also the entire region was the extended school year concept that was initiated at McColl Elementary/Middle School at the beginning of the 2001-02 school year. The four quarters of classes with 3-week intercessions for needed remediation and enrichment may well be the model that others choose to follow in years to come.

The School District of Marlboro County is definitely changing. The community, our supportive parents, the students, teachers, administrators, and Superintendent Dr. Ray Brayboy are all proud to be innovators.

South Carolina Performance Goal:

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the five fastest improving systems in the country.

For more information, visit www.myscschools.com or www. sceoc.org

